

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/469,982	12/21/1999	REUVEN MOSKOVICH	082771.P262	5627
7590 07/13/2004			EXAMINER	
WILLIAM W		NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			2665	13
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/469,982	MOSKOVICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		ne correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONT	THICK EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-2	7-04 amendment .					
,— ,	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,8,9,11,14,15 and 18</u> is/are pen						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,8,9,11,14,15 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	•					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/469,982

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-9, 11, 14-15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker (6,233,613) in view of Guttman (5,898,837).

 Regarding claims 1, 9, and 11:

Walker discloses a method for a probe 52/130 to negotiate a common mode of communication between two nodes (42/110 & 44/120), comprising:

- a) establishing a first communication path 46a/160 between the probe and a first node 42/110 including negotiating a mode of operation with the first mode {col.2, lines 43-45};
- b) establishing a second communication path 46b/150 between the probe and a second node 44/120 including negotiating a mode of operation with the second mode {col.2, lines 46-47};

Application/Control Number: 09/469,982

Art Unit: 2665

c) establishing a third communication path 140 through the probe (fig. 3), the third communication path 140 coupling the first 160 and second 150 communication paths by establishing a point to point link between the first and second nodes in series in order to provide a negotiated common mode of operation between the first node 110 and the second node 120 by comparing the mode of operation with the first node and the mode of operation with the second node and selecting one of multiple communication paths through the probe as the third communication path to provide a common mode of operation between the first node and the second node {col.2, lines 53-64}, wherein the probe includes a bypass mode in which data bypasses the probe and a pass through mode in which data is monitored by the probe {col.2, lines 10-65}.

Walker does not explicitly disclose the probe includes a bypass mode in which data bypasses the probe and a pass through mode in which data is monitored by the probe. However, in the same field of endeavor, Guttman (5,898,837) discloses a bypass circuit embodied in the probe. Therefore, it would have been obvious to apply Guttman's teaching to Walker's system with

Application/Control Number: 09/469,982 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

the motivation being to avoid interruption on the communication link between the stations connected to the probe when the probe is powered down as explicitly suggested in Walker on column 2, lines 8-13, 28-30.

Regarding claims 4, 14:

Walker further discloses wherein negotiating a mode of operation with the first node comprises negotiating a speed of a transmission of data over the first communication path between the probe and the first node {col.2, lines 48-49}.

Regarding claims 5, 15:

Walker does not explicitly disclose the claimed features. However, in the same field of endeavor, Guttman further discloses wherein negotiating a mode of operation with the first node comprises negotiating one of half duplex and full duplex communication over the first communication path between the probe and the first node {col.3, lines 9–11}. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Guttman's teaching to Walker's system with the

Application/Control Number: 09/469,982 Page 5

Art Unit: 2665

motivation being to allow monitoring of all traffic between the switch and network devices in either directions.

Regarding claims 8, 18:

Walker further discloses the common mode of operation between the first node and the second node is the best mode of operation available between the first node and the second node {col.2, lines 55-56}.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 4-27-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- A/. Applicant argued that Walker does not teach "establishing a first communication path between the probe and a first node including negotiating a mode of operation with the first node...establishing a second communication path between the probe and a second node including negotiating a mode of operation with the second node...and...establishing a third communication path through the probe, the third communication path coupling the first and second

Art Unit: 2665

communication paths by establishing a point to point link between the first and second nodes in series in order to provide a negotiated common mode of operation between the first node and the second by comparing the mode of operation with the first node and the mode of operation with second node and selecting one of multiple communication paths through the probe as the third communication path to provide a common mode of operation between the first node and the second node, wherein the probe includes a bypass mode in which data bypasses the probe mode in which data bypasses the probe and a pass through mode in which data is monitored by the probe."

In reply, applicant is directed to Walker's figures 1b-4 wherein Walker does teach "establishing a first communication path (150/160, figs.2-3) between the probe (130, figs.2-3; 52, fig.1c) and a first node (110,figs.2-3; 42, fig.1c) including negotiating a mode of operation with the first node...establishing a second communication path (150/160, figs.2-3; 46a, fig.1c) between the probe (130, figs.2-3; 52, fig.1c) and a second node (120, figs.2-3; 44, fig.1c) including negotiating a mode of operation with the second node...and...establishing a third communication path (140, fig.3) through the

Application/Control Number: 09/469,982

Art Unit: 2665

probe, the third communication path coupling the first and second communication paths by establishing a point to point link between the first and second nodes in series {col.2, lines 34–36} in order to provide a negotiated common mode of operation between the first node and the second by comparing the mode of operation with the first node and the mode of operation with second node and selecting one of multiple communication paths through the probe as the third communication path to provide a common mode of operation between the first node and the second node, wherein the probe includes a bypass mode in which data bypasses the probe mode in which data bypasses the probe and a pass through mode in which data is monitored by the probe {col.2, lines 17–19}.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/469,982

Art Unit: 2665

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 703–305–0093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Art Unit: 2665

assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2665

July 9, 2004

DUC HO PRIMARY EXAMINER

7-9-04